

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re application of:** Lorne A. Whitehead,  
Helge Seetveen, et al.

FILED VIA EFS on February 22, 2008

**Application No.** 10/507,460

**Filed:** September 10, 2004

**Confirmation No.** 6763

**For:** HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE DISPLAY  
DEVICES

**Examiner:** Christopher E. Mahoney

**Art Unit:** 2862

**Attorney Reference No.** 22-69459-01

FILED VIA EFS  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

**Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment**

This responds to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed January 29, 2008, for the above-referenced application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the referenced Amendment that was filed on December 26, 2007, is compliant in all regards.

The Notice indicates that the Amendment is considered non-compliant because “the drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as ‘Replacement Sheet.’”

In fact, no “drawings” were submitted with the Amendment of December 26, 2007.

Accompanying the Amendment was a diagram showing the family trees of various patent applications generally related to the subject matter of the above-referenced application. That diagram is not a new or substitute drawing to appear in the patent application. It is provided merely to help the reader understand the relationships of the applications.

Applicants have not requested that the diagram be entered as a drawing and do not want the diagram to appear in the resulting patent.

The Notice thus was sent in error and should be withdrawn.


Review of the Amendment and favorable consideration of the application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By

  
Richard J. Polley  
Registration No. 28,107